

From: [Sanchez, Petra](#)
To: [Banipal, Ben](#); [Villarreal, Chris](#); [Atkins, Blake](#); [Turner, LaDonna](#); [Hah, Josephine](#)
Subject: FW: Region 6 - Meeting request to tie up loose ends regarding data - follow-ups
Date: Monday, April 30, 2018 12:37:50 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image006.png](#)
[image007.png](#)

Ben, et.al.,

I'm trying to get a zipped copy of the risk assessment (see below) that was conducted by UNM to consider tribal concerns, fyi. The other risk assessment (LaDonna) that I called Santa Clara Pueblo (Dino C) on was the more qualitative risk assessment they contracted out in order to consider other tribal concerns. Still trying to get a copy of that report. Not finding it in SEMS.

Petra

From: Sanchez, Petra
Sent: Tuesday, April 24, 2018 6:00 PM
To: Atkins, Blake <atkins.blake@epa.gov>; Villarreal, Chris <villarreal.chris@epa.gov>
Cc: Banipal, Ben <banipal.ben@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Region 6 - Meeting request to tie up loose ends regarding data - follow-ups

Chris,

Your door was closed so I'm leaving the hard copy docs on Blake's chair.

Thanks,

Petra

From: Sanchez, Petra
Sent: Tuesday, April 24, 2018 5:51 PM
To: Atkins, Blake <atkins.blake@epa.gov>; Villarreal, Chris <villarreal.chris@epa.gov>
Cc: Banipal, Ben <banipal.ben@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Region 6 - Meeting request to tie up loose ends regarding data - follow-ups

Blake, Chris,

I pulled some documents from SEMS related to North Railroad including a Risk Assessment Report prepared by Environmental Health Associates, Inc. and University of NM Community Environmental Health Program. The file was too large to send electronically so I only printed the Executive Summary. I also printed other docs that may be of potential interest regarding tribal coordination and consultation in earlier days and will leave them on your desk Chris because I am not sure if Blake will be in tomorrow. I will be out the rest of the week.

I recall another risk assessment developed and think it may have been developed under a TAG grant and I'm running out of time tonight to search for it in SEMS. The risk assessment was much more qualitative and attempted to consider actual tribal uses of plants. But as I mentioned over the phone, it may not have been finalized by the TAG contractor.

The assorted files I printed include Fact Sheets and correspondence. I'll leave it to your discretion as to whether or not this helps capture communication with tribes prior to 2011 or TCOT.

Thanks,

Petra

From: Hah, Josephine

Sent: Tuesday, April 24, 2018 4:49 PM

To: Villarreal, Chris <villarreal.chris@epa.gov>; Banipal, Ben <banipal.ben@epa.gov>; Gee, Randy <Gee.Randy@epa.gov>; Atkins, Blake <Atkins.Blake@epa.gov>; Turner, LaDonna <turner.ladonna@epa.gov>; Sanchez, Petra <sanchez.petra@epa.gov>; Alvarado, Tina <Alvarado.Tina@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Region 6 - Meeting request to tie up loose ends regarding data - follow-ups

Chris,

Below is a summary of follow-ups from today's meeting. A due date is not specified; perhaps we can respond with documents that is readily available in 7-14 days then follow with remaining documents that require more time and effort to retrieve. Just let me know how I may assist in forwarding.

Thanks,

Josephine Hah, CPA

Accountant

Office of the Regional Comptroller

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6

☎: 214-665-9780

✉: Hah.Josephine@epa.gov



From: Bond, Matthew R [<mailto:BondM@gao.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, April 24, 2018 4:17 PM

To: Hah, Josephine <Hah.Josephine@epa.gov>; Solomon, Ruth <SolomonR@gao.gov>

Cc: normane@gao.gov

Subject: RE: Region 6 - Meeting request to tie up loose ends regarding data - follow-ups

Thank you for sending this along Josephine.

Below you will find the follow ups from today's meeting and follow-ups from these previous meetings:

- 1) Agenda from RTOC meetings where potential opportunities for tribal consultation was discussed for the Wilcox Oil Company. Alternatively, if you have emails or other documentation to demonstrate that consultation was offered, that would suffice.
- 2) Copies of the two letters from the Grants Mining District offering consultation to the Pueblos that did not make it into TCOTS.
- 3) A copy of the tribal risk assessment for the North Railroad Avenue Plume
- 4) Documentation, if available, on the Region's offering consultation to tribes associated with the 21 sites within the data Region 6 officials reviewed in December 2017 that are on or near tribal reservations that did not elicit Native American interest in consultation. If possible, provide documentation of the tribal response as well. (We can provide a list of the sites indicated, if needed).

As promised, attached you will find the Superfund FAQ forwarded us by OITA that we referenced in the phone call.

Below are follow-up items we requested from previous meetings. If these documents have been sent previously, either in whole or in part, please forward the documents to me and I will save them and confirm receipt.

Follow-ups from December 15, 2017 ROI:

1. Attendance list – Received 24 April
2. Example of an administrative record for Tar Creek which shows informal coordination with the tribe.
3. Examples in the Tar Creek administrative record showing documented change to site boundary because of EPA's move to a watershed-focused approach.

Follow ups from December 7, 2017 ROI on Jackpile Pagate:

- 1) Attendance list – Received 24 April
- 2) Chronology and documents or letters indicating coordination with Laguna Pueblo.
- 3) Memo talking about fishing on the Pueblo lands.
- 4) Examples of cultural awareness stipulation from Pruitt Site work plan.
- 5) A copy of the Laguna Pueblo MOU with EPA.

Thanks again Josephine. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to let me know. Have a good evening.

Regards,
Matthew

Matthew Bond
Analyst, Natural Resources and the Environment
U.S. Government Accountability Office
441 G Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20548

(202) 512-4938